

DRAFTING, PLEADING AND CONVEYANCE RUBRICS

The viva voce marks of the diary will be given on the basis of viva conducted in presence of External Member & guide.

JHARKHAND RAI UNIVERSITY, RANCHI			
DEPARTMENT OF LEGAL STUDIES			
DRAFTING, PLEADING AND CONVEYANCE			
SL NO.	Rubrics for Drafting, Pleading and Conveyance	Marks	Weightage
1	Drafting, Pleading and Conveyance Diary	90	90%
2	Viva	10	10%
TOTAL MARKS FOR DRAFTING, PLEADING AND CONVEYANCE		100	100%

Parameters	Criteria	Assessment
Quality of writing (20 marks)	The report is exceptionally well-organized, follows a logical flow of ideas, and includes deep legal research. The document is complete, formatted correctly, and clearly written.	15 - 20 marks
	The report is well-structured and demonstrates good legal research. The document is mostly complete with minor issues in formatting and clarity.	10 - 15 marks
	The report is somewhat structured and includes some legal research, but lacks completeness or proper format. Some parts of the report are unclear.	5 -10 marks
	The report is poorly structured, lacks legal research depth, or is significantly incomplete. Major formatting and clarity issues.	0 - 5 marks
Legal Knowledge & Research (20 marks)	The work displays in-depth legal knowledge with comprehensive research, including relevant case law, statutes, and authorities, all appropriately cited.	15 - 20 marks
	The work shows solid legal knowledge and research, with a few areas lacking depth or some minor citation errors.	10 - 15 marks
	Some legal knowledge and research are displayed, but many areas lack depth or accuracy. Some citations are incorrect or missing.	5 -10 marks
	The work lacks sufficient legal knowledge, and research is minimal or irrelevant. Citations are missing or incorrect.	0 - 5 marks

Clarity & Precision (15 marks)	Language is highly clear, concise, and precise. Legal concepts are explained with clarity, and there is minimal room for ambiguity.	12 – 15 marks
	Language is generally clear, but there are occasional moments of vagueness or redundancy in explaining legal concepts.	8-12 marks
	The language is somewhat unclear or verbose. Some legal concepts are confusing or inadequately explained.	4-8 marks
	The language is unclear, overly complex, or inaccurate. Legal concepts are not explained in an understandable manner.	0-4 marks
Structure and Organization (10 marks)	Excellent organization with clear headings, subheadings, and logical flow between sections. The report is formatted according to legal standards.	8-10 marks
	Good organization with mostly clear structure. Minor issues with the flow or formatting.	5-8 marks
	Some organization, but the structure is unclear in places. The format may be incomplete or inconsistent with legal standards.	2-5 marks
	The report is poorly structured or disorganized. There are significant formatting issues.	0-2 marks
Argumentation and Persuasiveness (10 marks)	The argument is highly persuasive, logically sound, and thoroughly supported by case law and statutory provisions.	8-10 marks
	The argument is mostly persuasive and logically structured, but some parts lack full support or clarity.	5-8 marks

	The argument is weak, and parts of it lack sufficient legal backing.	2-5 marks
	The argument is not persuasive, poorly reasoned, or lacks sufficient legal support.	0-2 marks
Compliance with Instructions & Format (10 marks)	The submission fully complies with all instructions, including format, word count, and document type (draft, pleading, conveyance, etc.).	8-10 marks
	The submission complies with most instructions, with minor deviations in format or word count.	5-8 marks
	The submission deviates from instructions in some areas, such as formatting or word count.	2-5 marks
	The submission fails to meet major instructions, with significant issues in formatting, word count, or other requirements.	0-2 marks
Creativity and Originality (5 marks)	Highly creative and original approach with fresh perspectives in drafting and argumentation.	4-5 marks
	Some creative and original thought, though portions of the work are standard or predictable.	2-4 marks
	Lacks creativity or originality, using standard templates or arguments without unique perspectives.	0-2 marks
Viva-voce (10 Marks)	Give complete and logical reply to the questions asked by external examiner & crisp to-the-point answers & attire is appropriate.	8-10 marks
	Give logical reply to the questions asked by examiner with few errors & crisp to-the-point answers & attire is appropriate.	6-8 marks

	Give incomplete and illogical reply to the questions asked by external examiner & average attire.	4-6 marks
	Give very few and vague answers to the questions asked by external examiner & not proper attire.	0-4 marks